

Numerical verification of Deligne's conjecture relating L-values and periods for hypergeometric motives

David P. Roberts

University of Minnesota, Morris

1. The familiar case of elliptic curves:

$$L(X, 1)/\Omega_{X,+} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

2. Deligne's conjecture: $L(M, n)/\Omega_{M,n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for n critical

3. Hypergeometric motives: $H(\alpha, \beta, t)$

4. Hypergeometric L-values: calculation of $L(H(\alpha, \beta, t), n)$

5. Hypergeometric periods: calculation of $\Omega_{H(\alpha, \beta, t), n}$

6. Numerical verifications: examples going beyond $(h^{0,1}, h^{1,0}) = (1, 1)$ from elliptic curves to Hodge vectors $(h^{0,w}, \dots, h^{w,0}) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, 0, 1, 1)$ and $(1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1)$.

1. Elliptic curves. Let X be an elliptic curve defined by $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)$ with $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{>1}$. Associated are two rational vector spaces, each with an extra structure

$$H_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) = H_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^+ \oplus H_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^-,$$

$$H_{DR}^1(X) \supset F^1 H_{DR}^1(X).$$

Here complex conjugation acts on $H_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^\epsilon$ with sign ϵ and $F^1 H_{DR}^1(X)$ is the subspace represented by everywhere regular differentials.

Choose, as below, the standard bases

$$\sigma_1 \in H_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^+ \text{ and } \sigma_2 \in H_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^-.$$

Let $\omega_1 = \frac{x dx}{2y}$ and $\omega_2 = \frac{dx}{2y}$ so that $\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ is a basis for $H_{DR}^1(X)$ with ω_2 lying in $F^1 H_{DR}^1(X)$.

The corresponding period matrix $\left(\int_{\sigma_i} \omega_j \right)$ is

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \frac{x dx}{\sqrt{x(x-1)(x-t)}} & \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(x-1)(x-t)}} \\ \int_1^t \frac{x dx}{\sqrt{x(x-1)(x-t)}} & \int_1^t \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(x-1)(x-t)}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Legendre relation says $\det(P) = -2\pi i$.

The colored entries $\Omega_{X,+}$ and $\Omega_{X,-}$ are the real and imaginary periods respectively. A proved part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is that

$$\frac{L(X, 1)}{\Omega_{X,+}}$$
 is rational.

This statement is also a special case of Deligne's conjecture.

Suppose $L(X, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ and X has conductor N . Then, as a simple case of general analytic continuation techniques,

$$L(X, 1) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} e^{-2\pi/\sqrt{N}}.$$

For $t = 3$, the conductor is $N = 96$ and the ratio is

$$\frac{L(X, 1)}{\Omega_{X,+}} \approx \frac{1.00107738}{2.00215476} = 0.50000000 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

For the twist $X_D : Dy^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)$, the ratio $L(X_D, 1)/(\sqrt{D}\Omega_{X,\text{sign}(D)})$ is rational. So, in a sense, $\Omega_{X,+}$ and $\Omega_{X,-}$ are equally involved.

2A. Period matrices. A motive $M \subseteq H^w(X, \mathbb{Q})$ has two associated rational vector spaces,

$$\check{M}_B \subseteq H_w(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad M_{DR} \subseteq H_{DR}^w(X).$$

These spaces have extra structures, as before:

$$\begin{aligned} \check{M}_B &= \check{M}_B^+ \oplus \check{M}_B^-, \\ M_{DR} &= F^0 \supseteq F^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq F^w \supseteq \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Integration of forms over cycles again gives a non-degenerate pairing:

$$\check{M}_B \times M_{DR} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : (\sigma, \omega) \mapsto \int_{\sigma} \omega.$$

Choosing bases $\{\sigma_i\}$ and $\{\omega_j\}$ respecting the structures, one gets a block period matrix P , e.g.

		F^0	F^1	F^2	F^3	F^4
		ω_1	ω_2	ω_3	ω_4	ω_5
In \check{M}^+ :	σ_1	$P_{1,1}$	$P_{1,2}$		$P_{1,3}$	
	σ_2	$P_{2,1}$	$P_{2,2}$		$P_{2,3}$	
	σ_3	$P_{3,1}$	$P_{3,2}$		$P_{3,3}$	
In \check{M}^- :	σ_4	$P_{4,1}$	$P_{4,2}$		$P_{4,3}$	
	σ_5	$P_{5,1}$	$P_{5,2}$		$P_{5,3}$	

A pair (p, ϵ) is called **critical** for M if $\dim(F^p) = \dim(\check{M}^\epsilon)$. In our example, the critical pairs are $(1, +)$, $(2, +)$, $(3, -)$, and $(4, -)$. Ongoing notations: P , P^+ , P^- of size $d = d_+ + d_-$.

2C. Notation. Let $c_\epsilon = \det(P_\epsilon) / \det(P)$. For n an integer and D a square-free integer, let

$$\epsilon(n, D) = (-1)^{n-1} \text{sign}(D).$$

2D. Deligne's conjecture (with twisting incorporated). Let M be a weight w motive. Let n and D be as above with $(n, \epsilon(n, D))$ critical for M . Let M_D be the twist of M by the quadratic character χ_D . Then

$$\frac{L(M_D, n)}{c_{\epsilon(n, D)} (\sqrt{D} (2\pi i)^n)^{d - \epsilon(n, D)}} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Note 1: One expects that always

$$\det(P) = (2\pi i)^{wd/2} \sqrt{\delta}$$

for some rational number δ . With this assumption, the conjecture for $L(M_D, n)$ is true if and only if it is true for $L(M_D, w + 1 - n)$.

Note 2: *Deligne's conjecture applies to M itself at **odd integers with red** and **even integers with orange**.*

3. Hypergeometric motives. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ and β_1, \dots, β_d be in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} with always $\alpha_i \neq \beta_j$. Let $t \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0, 1\}$. Suppose the multisets

$$\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\} \text{ and } \beta = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d\}$$

are each stable under multiplication by $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$. Then there is a corresponding degree d motive

$$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d; t) \in M(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The Hodge numbers depend on how the α_i and the β_j intertwine on circle \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . The two extremes are

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{h} &= (d), && \text{(Complete intertwining),} \\ \vec{h} &= (1, 1, \dots, 1, 1), && \text{(Complete separation).} \end{aligned}$$

In general, each α_i and β_j has an associated Hodge filtration $p \in \{0, \dots, w\}$. Also, in the case w even, there are formulas giving the decomposition $h^{w/2, w/2} = h_+^{w/2, w/2} + h_-^{w/2, w/2}$.

For this talk, we don't need the $H(\alpha, \beta, t)$ themselves. All we need is procedures to pass from (α, β, t) to L -values and structured period matrices.

4A. Hypergeometric L -functions. In

$$L(H(\alpha, \beta, t), s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{f_p(p^{-s})} \quad \text{and} \quad N = \prod_p p^{c_p},$$

it is essential to distinguish three types of primes:

- Primes dividing the denominator of an α_i or β_j are called **wild** because they are typically wildly ramified.
- Non-wild primes dividing $\text{Num}(t)$, $\text{Num}(t - 1)$, or $\text{Denom}(t)$ are called **tame** because they are at most tamely ramified.
- The remaining primes are **unramified**.

There are general formulas for L -factors and conductors at tame and unramified primes.

Magma has implemented these formulas and makes educated guesses at L -factors and conductors at wild primes p . As time goes on, the contexts where we expect our guesses to be right increases!

5A. Hypergeometric period matrices. We will work with period matrices $P(t)$ of $H(\alpha, \beta, t)$ which deviate slightly from the previous conventions to exploit particular features of the hypergeometric situation.

Assume first that the β_j are distinct and $t \in (-1, 0)$. For $\{i, c\} \in \{1, \dots, w\}$, define

$$F_{i,c}(\alpha, \beta, t) = (\epsilon t)^{1-\beta_i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1 - \beta_i + k)! \cdots (\alpha_n - \beta_i + k)!}{(\beta_1 - \beta_i + k)! \cdots (\beta_n - \beta_i + k)!} t^k.$$

Here $\epsilon = (-1)^{d-1}$ and lifts from \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{Q} are chosen so that $\beta_c \in [0, 1)$ and all the α_i 's and β_j 's are in $(\beta_c - 1, \beta_c]$.

Then $P(t)$ has entries

$$P_{r,c}(t) = \pi^{\frac{w-1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-2\pi i r \beta_i}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} \sin(\pi(\beta_i - \beta_\ell))} F_{i,c}(t).$$

For general t , one analytically continues, getting similar formulas. Mellin-Barnes integral representations make the $P_{r,c}(t)$ arise directly, without assuming that the β_j are distinct.

5B. Example. $M = H(0, 0, 0, 0; \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}; -1)$ has period matrix $P(-1) \approx$

In F^0	In F^1	In F^2	In F^3
$0.44 + 0.35i$	$-0.61 + 1.3i$	$-7.76 - 1.27i$	$-15.72 - 171.89i$
$-0.02 - 0.08i$	$0.09 - 0.43i$	$2.49 - 3.55i$	$125.39 - 75.23i$
$-0.02 + 0.08i$	$0.09 + 0.43i$	$2.49 + 3.55i$	$125.39 + 75.23i$
$0.44 - 0.35i$	$-0.61 - 1.3i$	$-7.76 + 1.27i$	$-15.72 + 171.89i$

5C. Structures on the period matrix. Complex conjugation on Betti cohomology corresponds to reversing the rows.

Each column belongs to F^p where p is the Hodge filtration associated to β_c .

The example illustrates how one picks out matrices P_+ and P_- in general. Here $\det(P) = 16\pi^6/25$ and

$$c_+ \approx -1.5179706636828457100213,$$

$$c_- \approx -0.8377233492103101185147.$$

There are many more structures in hypergeometric period matrices $P(t)$!

Example with M of type 2^+ . Let

$$M = H(0, 0, 0, 0, 0; \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, -1)$$

with period matrix

$-0.1 - 1.0i$	$1.9 - 0.2i$	$1.6 + 6.4i$	$-35.6 + 22.3i$	$102.1 - 4716.6i$
$0.0 + 0.0i$	-0.3	$-1.7 - 1.6i$	$-5.2 - 22.7i$	$3284.9 - 3130.5i$
0.0	0.2	1.8	19.7	4474.3
$0.0 + 0.0i$	-0.3	$-1.7 + 1.6i$	$-5.2 + 22.7i$	$3284.9 + 3130.5i$
$-0.1 + 1.0i$	$1.9 + 0.2i$	$1.6 - 6.4i$	$-35.6 - 22.3i$	$102.1 + 4716.6i$

Here M has $\vec{h} = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ and hence no critical points. So we work instead with M_{-1} where again the conductor increases:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cond}(M) &= 2^{17}, & \text{Cond}(M_{-1}) &= 2^{19}, \\ f_2(M, x) &= 1 - 4x, & f_2(M_{-1}, x) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Deligne's conjecture is again numerically verified:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L(M_{-1}, 3)}{c_{-}(i(2\pi i)^3)^3} &= \frac{1.8212393432853}{5092554.3083328} \\ &\approx \frac{3}{2^{23}}. \end{aligned}$$

Example with M of type 2^- . The family

$$H(0, 0, 0, 0, 0; \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}; t)$$

has $\vec{h} = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ for $t \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$, as on the previous page. But for $t \in (0, 1)$, $\vec{h} = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ and no twisting is required to have an opportunity to test Deligne's conjecture. We take $t = 1/2$.

Since $t > 0$, the structures on P are different and we need to extract P_+ and P_- slightly differently:

-0.1 - 31.2i	0.0 + 13.2i	2.2 - 12.9i	22.1 + 52.6i	-1714.3 - 4021.0i
0.1 + 0.0i	0.0 + 0.4i	-2.2 + 1.4i	-22.1 - 8.2i	1714.3 - 3868.9i
0.0 + 0.0i	0.0 - 0.2i	0.5 - 1.4i	10.3 - 13.3i	3832.6 - 1534.2i
0.0 + 0.0i	0.0 + 0.2i	0.5 + 1.4i	10.3 + 13.3i	3832.6 + 1534.2i
0.1 + 0.0i	0.0 - 0.4i	-2.2 - 1.4i	-22.1 + 8.2i	1714.3 + 3868.9i

The numerical verification is

$$\frac{L(M, 2)}{c_-(2\pi i)^4} = \frac{20.52960471086}{-525.557880598} \approx \frac{-5}{2^7}.$$

Example with M of type 2. Hypergeometric motives at $t = 1$ make sense after modification. The Hodge numbers are the same except $h^{w/2,w/2}$ drops by 1 if w is even and the two middle Hodge numbers drop by 1 if w is odd.

Let $M = H(0, 0, 0, 0, 0; \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}; 1)$. Then both M and M_{-1} have Hodge vector $(1, 1; 0; 1, 1)$. Invariants at 2 are $(2^{11}, 1)$ and $(2^7, 1 - 16x^2)$ respectively. A period matrix for M is obtained from the general formulas by crossing off a row and a column:

x	x	x	x	x
x	$-0.1 + 0.6i$	$-3.0 + 1.5i$	$-26.6 - 11.8i$	$1887.1 - 4211.9i$
x	$0.0 - 0.2i$	$0.7 - 1.8i$	$12.9 - 16.0i$	$4171.1 - 1662.5i$
x	$0.0 + 0.2i$	$0.7 + 1.8i$	$12.9 + 16.0i$	$4171.1 + 1662.5i$
x	$-0.1 - 0.6i$	$-3.0 - 1.5i$	$-26.6 + 11.8i$	$1887.1 + 4211.9i$

Deligne's conjecture applies to both real and imaginary twists of M . Two independent verifications:

$$\frac{L(M, 2)}{c_{-}((2\pi i)^2)^2} \approx \frac{2.71501421952698}{521.28273014918} \approx \frac{1}{2^6 3},$$

$$\frac{L(M_{-1}, 2)}{c_{+}(i(2\pi i)^2)^2} \approx \frac{0.4799512414113}{1474.4102136156} \approx \frac{1}{2^{10} 3}.$$

Example with M of type 3. Consider

$$M_D = H(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0; \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1)_D$$

for $D \in \{1, 2, -1, -2\}$. The Hodge vector is $(1, 1; 0; 0; 1, 1)$. Conductors, wild L -factors, and signs of functional equations are

D	1	2	-1	-2
$\text{cond}(M_D)$	2^5	2^{12}	2^9	2^{12}
$f_2(M_D, x)$	$1 + 4x + 32x^2$	1	1	1
$\text{sign}(M_D)$	1	1	-1	-1

Deligne's conjecture can be investigated without periods as certain ratios are predicted to be rational. Some numerical verifications:

$$\frac{L(M_1, 3)}{L(M_8, 3)} \approx \frac{0.5021130843546070283}{2.0084523374184281133} \approx \frac{1}{4},$$

$$\frac{L(M_1, 4)}{L(M_8, 4)} \approx \frac{0.7430519972631319079}{1.0216964962368063734} \approx \frac{8}{11},$$

$$\frac{L(M_{-1}, 4)}{L(M_{-8}, 4)} \approx \frac{0.8259429178651303171}{1.0324286473314128965} \approx \frac{4}{5}.$$

Some Principal References:

Deligne's Conjecture: Pierre Deligne. Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d'intégrales. 1979. (Deligne's c^ϵ is our $c_{-\epsilon}$.)

Hodge numbers for hypergeometric motives: Alessio Corti and Vasily Golyshev. Hypergeometric equations and weighted projective spaces. 2011.

Good Euler factors for Hypergeometric L-functions: Nicholas Katz. Exponential Sums and Differential Equations. 1990. (Fast p -adic implementation due to Henri Cohen)

Bad Euler factors and conductors of Hypergeometric L-functions: Ongoing Work with Fernando Rodriguez Villegas and Mark Watkins.

Analytic Computations with L-functions: Tim Dokchitser. Computing special values of motivic L -functions. 2004. (Fast implementation in *Magma*)

Hypergeometric period matrices: Frits Beukers. Notes on differential equations and hypergeometric functions. 2009.

Vasily Golyshev and Anton Mellit. Gamma structures and Gauss's contiguity. 2014.

Hossein Movasati. Modular-type functions attached to mirror quintic Calabi-Yau varieties. 2012.