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8. Warm up activity: a tree game. Consider bipartite trees where each vertex is given a weight and the total weight of the black vertices is equal to the total weight of the white vertices.

Example.

$$
\underline{1}-3-\frac{2}{\mid}-\frac{5}{\mid}-6-\underline{8}
$$

Give all edges a weight in the unique way such that the weight of each vertex is the sum of the weights of its incident edges.

Note that, trivially, all edge-weights positive $\Rightarrow$ all vertex-weights positive. The converse is far from being true, as indicated by the example.

The tree game. You are given:
A positive number $n$.
Positive numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ summing to $n$. Positive numbers $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}$ summing to $n$.

A classical formula says that there are $f(r, s)=$ $r^{s-1} s^{r-1}$ bipartite trees with black vertices $a_{1}$, $\ldots, a_{r}$ and white vertices $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}$.

You are asked to find those bipartite trees for which the induced weights on the edges are all positive.

Easy example. Vertices are 10, 1, 2, 3, 4. There is only $f(1,4)=1^{3} 4^{0}=1$ tree. It has positive edge weights:

In general, if $r$ or $s$ is 1 then there is one tree and its edge weights are positive.

Three examples with $(r, s)=(2,3)$ so that there are $f(2,3)=2^{2} 3^{1}=12$ trees to consider in each case:

| Example A | Example B | Example C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\mathbf{6}}, \underline{\mathbf{1 2}}, 5,10,3$ | $\underline{\mathbf{6}}$, 12, 3, 9, 6 | $\underline{\mathbf{6}}$, $\underline{12}, 1,8,9$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \underline{6}-10^{4}-\frac{\mathbf{1 2}}{5 \mid}{ }^{3}-3 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6-9-\frac{3}{-12}{ }^{6}-6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underline{6}-8-\frac{2}{-12}{ }^{9}-9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| $5-\underline{5}-1-3 \stackrel{2}{-12}-10$ | $3-\underline{3}-6-9-12 \stackrel{3}{-9}$ | $1-\underline{1} \underline{6}^{5}-9-\underline{4}-{ }_{-}^{8} 8$ |
| $5 \stackrel{5}{-6}-10 \stackrel{9}{-12}-3$ | $3-\underline{3} \underline{6}-9-\underline{6}-12-6$ | $1-1{ }^{1}-8-3-12-9$ |
| $3-\frac{3}{-6}-{ }^{3}-5 \stackrel{2}{-12}-10$ | (3 near misses | $\underline{6}-9^{-}{ }_{-}^{3} \underline{12}-\frac{8}{11}-8$ |
| $3-\frac{3}{-6}-10 \stackrel{7}{-} \underline{12}-5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6-\underline{6}-\frac{0}{-}-9-\underline{9} \underline{\mathbf{1 2}}^{3}-3 \\ & \text { as }-\frac{0}{-} \text { can move) } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| 5 trees total | 3 trees total | 4 trees total |

We've been working with abstract trees. Let's switch to planar trees, even though this does not seem natural from the point of view of the tree game. Suppose an abstract tree has vertex degrees $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{v}$. Then choosing a planar embedding involves choices at each vertex of degree $\geq 3$. All together there are $\prod_{i}\left(d_{i}-1\right)$ ! embeddings.

As before there are $f(r, s)=r^{s-1} s^{r-1}$ bipartite trees with $r$ black and $s$ white vertices. Another classical formula says there are

$$
g(r, s)=r_{s-1} s_{r-1}
$$

planar such trees (Pochhammer symbol, e.g. $\left.r_{3}=r(r+1)(r+2) \geq r^{3}\right)$.

The tree game theorem. There are at most ( $v-2$ )! planar trees which solve any given tree game with $v$ vertices. Equality holds if and only if there are no planar trees with zero as an edge weight.
2. Extreme rational functions and their moduli algebras. Consider rational functions $F(x)=f(x) / g(x)$ of degree $n$, thought of geometrically as maps $\mathbf{P}_{x}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{y}^{1}$. Counting multiplicities, $F(x)$ always has exactly $2 n-2$ critical points in $\mathrm{P}_{x}^{1}$, the roots of

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f^{\prime}(x) g(x)-f(x) g^{\prime}(x)}{g(x)^{2}} .
$$

Critical values are images of critical points and so there are exactly $2 n-2$ of them in $\mathbf{P}_{y}^{1}$, counting multiplicities. Generically, all critical points are different and all critical values are different.

It is easy to write down rational functions such that 0 and $\infty$ are critical values to high multiplicity: just make $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have multiple roots as desired. The most extreme case is when there is only one other critical value, say 1. Then a natural invariant of $F(x)$ is the partition triple ( $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\infty}$ ) measuring multiplicities in the singular fibers. Riemann-Hurwitz says that $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}$, and $\lambda_{\infty}$ together have exactly $n+2$ parts.

In fact, given such a triple ( $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\infty}$ ) there are only finitely many corresponding rational functions, up to pre-composition by Möbius transformations of $\mathbf{P}_{x}^{1}$.

Let $X\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ be the set of such equivalence classes. To identify it, one approach is to solve equations to get an algebra

$$
K\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)=\mathbb{Q}[z] / m(z) .
$$

The algebra itself is well-defined up to unique isomorphism while the defining polynomial $m(z)$ depends on choices. The set $X\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ is identified with the complex roots of $m$ or, more canonically, with the set of embeddings $K\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\infty}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Random example from the ZIP code 56626: $K\left(56,61^{5}, 261^{3}\right)$ is given by $m(z)=$

$$
z^{16}-4 z^{15}+50 z^{14}-400 z^{13}+1315 z^{12}+\cdots
$$

The Galois group of $m(z)$ is (unremarkably) all of $S_{16}$. The field discriminant of $m(z)$ is (remarkably!) $2^{29} 3^{18} 5^{17} 7^{9} 11^{3}$.
3. Dessins d'enfants. A completely different approach for identifying $X\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ is to work diagrammatically.

Let $F(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ with $[F] \in X\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$. Let $D$ be the preimage in $\mathbf{P}_{x}^{1}$ of the real interval $[-\infty, 0] \subset \mathbf{P}_{y}^{1}$. Viewing $F^{-1}(-\infty)$ as black vertices and $F^{-1}(0)$ as white vertices, the set $D=F^{-1}([-\infty, 0])$ has the structure of bipartite graph.

Black valences are given by $\lambda_{\infty}$.
White valencies are given by $\lambda_{0}$.
Face valencies are given by $\lambda_{1}$.

The set $X\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ is in bijection with isotopy classes of such dessins.

ZIP code example again: One of the sixteen dessins is

Another dessin is the mirror image of this graph. There are six such chiral pairs and then four achiral dessins (corresponding to the twelve non-real and four real roots of $m(z)$ ).

Collapsing for efficiency. In general, the average valence of any dessin is $3 n /(n+2) \approx 3$. There are various tricks for efficiently treating vertices and faces of valence $\leq 2$. Today we will simply collapse faces of valence one to get weighted planar graphs. The above example becomes a weighted planar tree:

All sixteen dessins can be obtained from the tree game, although now the 1 's in $\lambda_{\infty}$ are indistinguishable. (Connection with the tree game theorem is $(7-2)!/ 3!-4=20-4=16$.)
4. The arboreal case. It is well-known that both the algebraic approach and the geometric approach become easier when $\lambda_{1}$ is the trivial partition $n$. On the dessin side, this is exactly when the dessins $D$ are trees.

In fact, the natural condition is that $\lambda_{1}$ has the shape of a hook, i.e. $\lambda_{1}=e 1^{n-e}$ for some $e$. On the dessin side, this is exactly when the weighted graphs representing $D$ are weighted trees with $e$ edges and $v=e+1$ vertices.

For example, take $v=4$ so that the partition triples considered have the form

$$
\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{r}, 31^{n-3}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right)
$$

Write $a_{r+i}=-b_{i}$ so that $\lambda_{0}$ and $\lambda_{\infty}$ are captured by the vector ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ ) with $a_{1}+$ $a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}=0$. The rational functions to be considered are

$$
F(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(x-z_{i}\right)^{a_{i}}
$$

with as yet unspecified $z$-values.

All the $F(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(x-z_{i}\right)^{a_{i}}$ satisfy $F(\infty)=1$. If say $a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ are different from the other $a_{i}$ we can normalize by setting $z_{3}=0$ and $z_{4}=1$ so that $F(0), F(1) \in\{0, \infty\}$. Differentiating, one has

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{u_{0}+u_{1} x+u_{2} x^{2}}{x(x-1)\left(x-z_{1}\right)\left(x-z_{2}\right)}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}=-a_{3} z_{1} z_{2} \\
& u_{1}=\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right) z_{1}+\left(a_{1}+a_{3}\right) z_{2}-\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) z_{1} z_{2} \\
& u_{2}=a_{1} z_{1}+a_{2} z_{2}-\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Already, $F^{-1}(1)$ contains $\infty$. To make $F^{-1}(1)$ contain $\infty$ with multiplicity three, we need to choose $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ so that $u_{1}=u_{2}=0$. We eliminate $z_{1}$, set $(z, a, b, c)=\left(z_{1}, a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ and find the moduli polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(a, b, c, z)= & a(a+b) z^{2}-2 a(a+b+c) z \\
& +(a+c)(a+b+c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The discriminant of this polynomial is

$$
D(a, b, c)=-4 a b c(a+b+c)
$$

Similarly, for $v=5$ one gets the universal moduli polynomial $m(a, b, c, d, z)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d^{2}(b+d)(c+d)(b+c+d)^{2} z^{6} \\
& +6 a d^{2}(b+d)(c+d)(b+c+d) z^{5} \\
& +3 a d^{2}\left(b^{3}+a b^{2}+c b^{2}+2 d b^{2}+c^{2} b+d^{2} b\right. \\
& \quad+5 a c b+6 a d b+2 c d b+c^{3}+a c^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+5 a d^{2}+c d^{2}+2 c^{2} d+6 a c d\right) z^{4} \\
& +2 a d\left(c b^{3}+2 c^{2} b^{2}+3 a c b^{2}+6 a d b^{2}+3 c d b^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+c^{3} b+3 a c^{2} b+6 a d^{2} b+2 c d^{2} b+2 a^{2} c b \\
& \quad+6 a^{2} d b+3 c^{2} d b+6 a c d b+10 a^{2} d^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+6 a c d^{2}+6 a c^{2} d+6 a^{2} c d\right) z^{3} \\
& +3 a^{2} d\left(b^{3}+2 a b^{2}+c b^{2}+d b^{2}+a^{2} b+c^{2} b+\right. \\
& \quad 2 a c b+6 a d b+5 c d b+c^{3}+2 a c^{2}+ \\
& \left.a^{2} c+5 a^{2} d+c^{2} d+6 a c d\right) z^{2} \\
& +6 a^{2}(a+b)(a+c)(a+b+c) d z \\
& +a^{2}(a+b)(a+c)(a+b+c)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with discriminant $D_{5}(a, b, c, d)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2^{6} 3^{6} a^{10} b^{4} c^{4} d^{10} \\
& (a+b)(a+c)(b+c)(a+d)^{3}(b+d)(c+d) \\
& (a+b+c)^{3}(a+b+d)(a+c+d)(b+c+d)^{3} \\
& (a+b+c+d)^{10}(b-c)^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $v=6$ yields $m_{6}(a, b, c, d, e, z)$ of degree $4!=24$ in both the parameters $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and the variable $z$. It has 78184 terms. In genaral $m_{v}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{e}, z\right)$ has degree ( $v-2$ )! in both $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{e}\right\}$ and $z$.

Discriminant theorem. The polynomial discriminant $D_{I}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ has the form

$$
\pm \prod_{p \leq v-2} p^{*} \cdot \prod_{I \neq \emptyset}^{\{1, \ldots, e\}}\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_{i}\right)^{*} \cdot F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{e}\right)^{2}
$$

The factor $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{e}\right)$ does not contribute to field discriminants of specializations.
5. The discriminant arrangement. The set of allowed indices for arboreal dessins with $v$ vertices and $e=v-1$ edges is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{v} & =\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{e}, a_{v}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{v}: \sum a_{i}=0\right\} \\
& \cong\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{e}\right)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{e} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider this lattice inside of $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{v} \cong \mathbb{R}^{e}$.
For $I$ a non-empty subset of $\{1, \ldots, e\}$, let

$$
H_{I}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{e}\right): \sum_{i \in I} a_{i}=0\right\}
$$

Then the singular indices are exactly those which lie on one of these $2^{e}-1$ hyperplanes.

Example of $v=3$. Three lines in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, permuted transitively by $S_{3}$ :


Let $C_{v}$ be the set of chambers of $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{v}-\cup_{I} H_{I}$. Then the number of chambers $\left|C_{v}\right|$ grows very rapidly with $v$. Even the number of $S_{v}$-orbits $\left|C_{v} / S_{v}\right|$ grows rapidly. For $v>2$, it is twice the number of $\{ \pm 1\} \times S_{v}$-orbits. These latter orbits index essentially different generic tree games with $v$ vertices.

|  | $\left\|C_{v}\right\|\left\|C_{v} / S_{v}\right\|$ Distribution by Signature ( $r, s$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| 4 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 21 |
| 5 | 370 | 12 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 11292 | 56 | 1426 | 2614 |
| 7 | 1066044 | 576 | 162225 | 22562 |
|  | 347326352 | 8320 | 15664059 | 4059566 |

Compared to many classical arrangements, our arrangement is a "thicket" of hyperplanes. For small primes $p$, most points in $\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{v}\right)_{0}$ are on a high-codimension stratum:
$\left.\begin{array}{rr|rrrr}\hline 3 & 0 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & 3 p & -3 \\ & 2 & & & p^{2} & -3 p\end{array}\right)$


There are many natural subspaces of $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{v}$ as one can demand symmetry relations and/or degeneracy relations. For example, a natural ambient space for our ZIP code example $\left(56,61^{5}, 261^{3}\right)$ is $\left(a b, 61^{5}, c b d^{3}\right)$. The $b c$-plane corresponding to the $d=1$ slice illustrates the discriminant locus of a degree 16 polynomial:


## 6. Complex monodromy. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(0) & =\left(a_{1}(0), \ldots, a_{v}(0)\right) \\
\text { and } a(1) & =\left(a_{1}(1), \ldots, a_{v}(1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

be in adjacent chambers of $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{v}-\cup_{I} H_{I}$. Let $a(t)=(1-t) a(0)+t a(1)$ run over the segment between them. Consider trying to move solutions of the tree game at $a(0)$ to solutions of the tree game at $a(1)$.

Typically, most solutions move without any problem, meaning that edge weights stay positive for all $t \in[0,1]$. However some solutions acquire zero as an edge weight on a single edge. Nonetheless, monodromy gives two bijections $\gamma_{+}, \gamma_{-}: \mathcal{T}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{1}$ corresponding to transporting in $\mathbb{C}_{0}^{v}$ either over or under the wall.

Monodromy Theorem. On degenerating trees, $\gamma_{+}$acts by moving the degenerating edge counterclockwise one spot on each component, as indicated by the following pictures. Likewise, $\gamma_{-}$moves the degenerating edge clockwise one spot.

## $\gamma_{+}$in general:

$\gamma_{+}$in Examples A,B,C:

$t<1 / 2: \quad(3+6 t)^{3+6 t} \underline{\underline{6}} \underline{-6 t}(10-2 t)^{7+4 t} \underline{\underline{12}} \underline{ }{ }^{5-4 t}(5-4 t) \quad \in \mathcal{T}_{0}$
$t=1 / 2$ :
$6 \stackrel{6}{6}$
9 9 $\underline{12}-3$
$t>1 / 2$ :
$(3+6 t)-\underline{6}$
$(10-2 t) \stackrel{10-2 t}{\underline{12}} \underline{5-4 t}(5-4 t) \quad \in \mathcal{T}_{1}$

Now suppose $V$ is a single chamber in $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{v}$ containing a point $a(0)$ and $H_{I}$ is a hyperplane bounding it. Then we can leave $V$ via $\gamma_{+}$, go around $H_{I}$, and come back via $\gamma_{-}^{-1}$. This gives a permutation $\gamma=\gamma_{-}^{-1} \gamma_{+}$on $\mathcal{T}_{0}$.

Set $i=|I|$ and $j=v-i$, with $i, j>1$ to simplify. Then at the boundary $H_{I}$, there are $(i-2)$ ! possibilities for one component and $(j-2)$ ! possibilities for the other. They can be attached to each other in $(i-1)(j-1)$ possible ways. Let $u=\operatorname{LCM}(i-1, j-1)$. The monodromy theorem says that the cycle structure of $\gamma$ is $(i-1)!(j-1)!/ u$ cycles of length $u$, and the rest cycles of length 1.

One can also blow up strata of the arrangement to get a divisor with normal crossings, getting $k$ commuting permutations on $\mathcal{T}_{0}$ corresponding to generating to a codimension $k$ corner of $V$. This is combinatorially complicated and the explicit formulas involve Bell numbers.
7. Tame ramification. For primes $p \leq v-2$, ramification in the moduli algebras

$$
K\left(\lambda_{0}, e 1^{n-e}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)
$$

is typically wild. For $p \geq v-1$, ramification can be described combinatorially by transporting the results from characteristic zero.

For example, the ZIP code field from the setting $v=7$ has degree sixteen and field discriminant $2^{29} 3^{18} 5^{17} 7^{9} 11^{3}$. Thus 2,3 , and 5 are indeed wildly ramified, as is typical. The exponent 9 on 7 comes from ramification partition $4^{3} 1^{4}$. The exponent 3 on 11 comes from the ramification partition $41^{12}$.
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