Title Terminology Research Goals Challenges

# The Role of Method Call Optimizations in the Efficiency of Java Generics

#### Jeffrey D. Lindblom, Seth Sorensen, Elena Machkasova

April 14, 2012

Jeffrey D. Lindblom, Seth Sorensen, Elena Machkasova Method Call Optimizations in the Efficiency of Java Generics

・ロト ・日本 ・モート ・モート

Title Terminology Research Goals Challenges

# Terminology

#### Java HotSpot Virtual Machine (JVM)

An application developed by Oracle that interprets a compiled Java program.

#### Just-in-Time Compiler (JIT)

A part of the JVM that optimizes code through recompilations at run-time.

#### Java Generics

A type in Java that allow the contents of a container to be bounded to a single, specified type. (E.g. ArrayList<String>).

Title Terminology Research Goals Challenges

## Research Goals

- Describe the influence of Java Generics on run times of Java programs
- Detect the presence of optimizations such as inlining and devirtualization
- Explore tools and methodology for observing JIT optimizations of Java Generics:
  - Profilers such as XProf
  - Internal logging of JIT

Title Terminology Research Goals Challenges

## Challenges: JVM Complexity

- The HotSpot JVM documentation is not detailed and often not up to date
- Which JIT optimizations matter and why is difficult to assess
- The HotSpot JVM is multi-threaded
- JIT optimizations may be scheduled differently among multiple runs of the same program

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Title Terminology Research Goals Challenges

# Challenges: JVM Diagnostics

- Observer Effect:
  - Profilers can influence JIT optimizations as well as program run times.
- Absence of Relevant Data:
  - Differences among run times for multiple runs of the same program may not be explainable by using the tools at our disposal.
- Presence of Irrelevant Data:
  - Tools can provide overwhelming amounts of information that may or may not be useful in describing observations.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Java Execution Model JIT Optimizations Java Generics

#### Java Execution Model

#### Java code is executed through a two-phase compilation process:

- Initial compilation into bytecode
- Additional recompilation by the JIT

#### Three internal representations exist:

- Bytecode
- Native code produced by the JIT
- The Sea of Nodes within the JIT

Java Execution Model JIT Optimizations Java Generics

# JIT Optimizations

• During JIT compilation, optimizations are made to increase efficiency and decrease run time of the program

#### Devirtualization

- The JVM uses *Virtual Method Lookup* to locate the correct method
- JIT replaces these calls with jumps after repeated look-up

#### Inlining

The method call is replaced by the code it represents

• A method call threshold must be reached before optimizations take place

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

Java Execution Model JIT Optimizations Java Generics

### Java Generics

- public class ArrayList<T>
- ArrayList<String> strArrayList = new
  ArrayList<String>();
- public class ArrayListInteger extends ArrayList<Integer>

The last example is referred to as *bound narrowing*, where the element type of a class is more specific than that of its superclass

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Bound Narrowing and Test Examples Instability Observations

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

## **Bound Narrowing**

- public class Generic<K, V> extends HashMap<K, V>
- public class Narrowed extends HashMap<Integer, String>
- hashMap = new Generic<Integer, String>();
- hashMap = new Narrowed();

Bound Narrowing and Test Examples Instability Observations

イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日

## Test Examples

Bound Narrowing and Test Examples Instability Observations

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

3

## Narrowed and Generic Test Runs



Running times for Narrowed and Generic runs.

- 100,000,000 method calls for containsValue
- 10 test runs for each of Narrowed and Generic.

Bound Narrowing and Test Examples Instability Observations

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Instability

Running the same code multiple times may result in differing run times: instability

	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Run 4	Run 5	Run 6	Run 7	Run 8	Run 9	Run 10
Narrowed	6.87	8.09	8.24	8.81	6.87	8.58	6.87	8.03	6.87	6.61
Generic	8.55	8.53	7.84	7.83	8.59	8.82	7.82	7.83	7.83	8.60

- In some cases two runs may produce identical logs (Generic)
- In other cases there are differences in logs (Narrowed)
- We can use the differences in logs to explain the second type of instability

Bound Narrowing and Test Examples Instability Observations

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### LogCompilation of Two Narrowed Test Runs: Compilations

Slow Run: 8.87 s

Task: compile\_id = 3, method = TestNarrowed innerLoop (ILMap;[Ljava/lang/String;Z)Z, bytes = 34, count = 10000, backedge\_count = 5386, iicount = 5, stamp = 0.131,

```
Method: id = 604, name = innerLoop, bytes = 34, iicount = 5,
Method: id = 609, name = containsValue, bytes = 0, iicount = 1,
Call: method = 609, count = 43394, prof_factor = 1, virtual = 1, inline = 1, receiver = 607,
receiver, count = 43394,
Method: id = 610, name = containsValue, bytes = 9, compile_id = 2, compiler = C2, level = 2,
iicount = 10000,
Call: method = 610, count = 43394, prof_factor = 1, inline = 1, inline fail: reason = already
compiled into a big method.
```

Task done: success = 1, nmsize = 316, count = 10000, backedge count = 5386, stamp = 0.134,

#### Fast Run: 6.97 s

```
Task: compile_id = 3, method = TestNarrowed innerLoop (ILMap;[Ljava/lang/String;Z)Z, bytes = 34, count = 2, backedge_count = 5000, iicount = 2, stamp = 0.121,
```

```
Method: id = 604, name = innerLoop, bytes = 34, iccunt = 2,
Method: id = 609, name = containsValue, bytes = 0, iiccunt = 1,
Call: method = 609, count = 6701, prof_factor = 1, virtual = 1, inline = 1, receiver = 607,
receiver = count = 6701,
Method: id = 610, name = containsValue, bytes = 9, iiccunt = 100000,
Call: method = 610, count = 6701, prof_factor = 1, inline = 1,
Method: id = 612, name = containsValue, bytes = 64, compile_id = 1, compiler = C2, level = 2,
iiccunt = 2501,
Call: method = 612, count = 6701, prof_factor = 0.6701, inline = 1,
Method: id = 621, name = equals, bytes = 88, iiccunt = 6612,
Call: method = 621, count = 4189, prof_factor = 1, inline = 1,
Task done: success = 1, nnsize = 1456, count = 10000, backedge_count = 5342, inlined bytes = 152, stamp = 0.159.
```

Jeffrey D. Lindblom, Seth Sorensen, Elena Machkasova Method Call Optimizations in the Efficiency of Java Generics

Bound Narrowing and Test Examples Instability Observations

#### LogCompilation of Two Narrowed Test Runs: Nodes

Slow Run: 8.87 s

#### In Thread 1

 Task: compile\_id = 2, method = Narrowed containsValue (Ljava/lang/Object;)Z, bytes = 9, count = 5000, iicount = 10000, stamp = 0.112,

```
Phase: name = parse, nodes = 3, stamp = 0.112,

Phase: name = optimizer, nodes = 403, stamp = 0.113,

Phase: name = matcher, nodes = 415, stamp = 0.116,

Phase: name = regalloc, nodes = 446, stamp = 0.117,

Phase: name = output, nodes = 775, stamp = 0.124
```

Task done: success = 1, nmsize = 1040, count = 5000, inlined bytes = 152, stamp = 0.124,

 Task: compile\_id = 3, method = TestNarrowed innerLoop (ILMap;[Ljava/lang/String;Z)Z, bytes = 34, count = 10000, backedge\_count = 5386, iicount = 5, stamp = 0.131,

Phase: name = parse, **nodes = 3**, stamp = 0.132, Phase: name = optimizer, **nodes = 156**, stamp = 0.132, Phase: name = matcher, **nodes = 177**, stamp = 0.133, Phase: name = regalloc, **nodes = 120**, stamp = 0.134, Phase: name = output, **nodes = 170**, stamp = 0.134,

Task done: success = 1, nmsize = 316, count = 10000, backedge count = 5386, stamp = 0.134,

#### Fast Run: 6.97 s

#### In Thread 1

 Task: compile\_id = 2, method = Narrowed containsValue (Ljava/lang/Object;)Z, bytes = 9, count = 5000, iicount = 10000, stamp = 0.112,

```
Phase: name = parse, nodes = 3, stamp = 0.112,
Phase: name = optimizer, nodes = 403, stamp = 0.113,
Phase: name = matcher, nodes = 815, stamp = 0.116,
Phase: name = output, nodes = 746, stamp = 0.117,
Phase: name = output, nodes = 75, stamp = 0.134
```

Task done: success = 1, nmsize = 1040, count = 9901, inlined bytes = 152, stamp = 0.134,

#### In Thread 2

 Task: compile\_id = 3, method = TestNarrowed innerLoop (ILMap;[Ljava/lang/String;Z)Z, bytes = 34, count = 2, backedge\_count = 5000, iicount = 2, stamp = 0.121,

```
Phase: name = parse, podes = 3, stamp = 0.121,
Phase: name = optimizer, nodes = 496, stamp = 0.123,
Phase: name = matcher, nodes = 949, stamp = 0.126,
Phase: name = regalloc, nodes = 524, stamp = 0.138,
Phase: name = output, nodes = 1042, stamp = 0.158,
```

```
Task done: success = 1, nmsize = 1456, count = 10000, backedge_count = 5342, inlined_bytes = 152, stamp = 0.159,
```

Jeffrey D. Lindblom, Seth Sorensen, Elena Machkasova

Method Call Optimizations in the Efficiency of Java Generics

Bound Narrowing and Test Examples Instability Observations

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### XProf

Slow Run: 8.87 s

Co	mpiled	+	native	Method
77.0%	676	+	0	Narrowed.containsValue
21.8%	191	+	0	TestNarrowed.innerLoop
98.7%	867	+	0	Total compiled

Fast Run: 6.97 s

Cor	npiled	+	native	Method
99.1%	672	+	0	TestNarrowed.innerLoop
0.1%	1	+	0	Narrowed.containsValue
99.3%	673	+	0	Total compiled

## Conclusions

- Able to classify and distinguish instability through:
  - Differences in LogCompilation
  - Differences in XProf output
- Observed evidence of specific methods being inlined
- Developed strategies for describing specific behaviors of JIT

## **Open Problems and Future Work**

- Use these strategies to explain other behaviors associated with Java generics
- More recent versions of Java SE 6
- Extend to Java SE 7

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)